



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/779,213	02/08/2001	Yoshihiro Koshido	P/1071-1292	6634

7590 12/16/2002

Edward A. Meilman
DICKSTEIN SHAPIRO MORIN & OSHINSKY LLP
1177 Avenue of the Americas, 41st Floor
New York, NY 10036-2714

[REDACTED] EXAMINER

BUDD, MARK OSBORNE

[REDACTED] ART UNIT

[REDACTED] PAPER NUMBER

2834

DATE MAILED: 12/16/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

779213

Applicant(s)

Koshida

Examiner

M. Budd

Group Art Unit

2834

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet beneath the correspondence address--**Period for Reply**A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by default, expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication .
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).

Status Responsive to communication(s) filed on _____. This action is FINAL. Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 1 1; 453 O.G. 213.**Disposition of Claims**

1-9

 Claim(s) _____ is/are pending in the application. Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1 and 3-9 _____ is/are rejected. Claim(s) 2 _____ is/are objected to. Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction or election requirement.**Application Papers** See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948. The proposed drawing correction, filed on _____ is approved disapproved. The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are objected to by the Examiner. The specification is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.**Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)** Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d). All Some* None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority documents have been received. received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number) _____. received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 1.7.2(a)).

*Certified copies not received: _____.

Attachment(s) Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s). _____ Interview Summary, PTO-413 Notice of Reference(s) Cited, PTO-892 Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948 Other _____**Office Action Summary**

Art Unit: 2834

Claims 1 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102 as anticipated by Takayama or Yuhara.

Claims 3-7 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103 as unpatentable over Takayama or Yuhara for the specific reasons set forth in paper no. 13 (08-21-02).

Regarding applicants comments it is noted that it has been held that the recitation that an element is "capable of" performing a function is not a positive limitation but only requires the ability to so perform. It does not constitute a limitation in any patentable sense. In re Hutchison, 69 USPQ 138. The examiner speculates or purposes that unlimited exposure (say 200 million years) of an aluminum thin film to a fluoride based gas would probably show some etching. Applicant has not shown any evidence that the aluminum used by the references is not capable of fluorine-based gas etching. The second layer is being defined as a concept rather than any specific material.

Kimura is withdrawn as a reference.

Claim 7 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after

Art Unit: 2834

the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Budd/ek

12/12/02


MARY BUDD
PRIMARY EXAMINER
ART UNIT 219